

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS
OMB *CIRCULAR A-133*, SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014
WITH
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS
OMB *CIRCULAR A-133*, SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014
WITH
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS
OMB *CIRCULAR A-133*, SINGLE AUDIT REPORT
YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
A copy of the Douglas County, Kansas, financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014, accompanies this report. The independent auditor's report and the financial statements are hereby incorporated by reference.	
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	1 - 2
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133	3 - 5
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	6 - 10
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	11
Note to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	12

This is a copy of the County's annual financial statement reproduced from an electronic file. An original copy of this document is available at the County's office.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE
AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of County Commissioners
Douglas County, Kansas

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the fund summary statement of regulatory basis receipts, expenditures, and unencumbered cash balances of Douglas County, Kansas and the related municipal entities of the Douglas County Extension Council, the Lawrence-Douglas County Health Department, and the Douglas County Free Fair (collectively "the County") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statement, and have issued our report thereon dated August 14, 2015. The County prepared the regulatory basis financial statement to meet the requirements of the State of Kansas on the basis of the financial reporting provisions of the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the County's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified. We did identify certain deficiencies in internal control, described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as items 2014-001, 2014-002, 2014-003, and 2014-004 that we consider to be significant deficiencies.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the County's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

The County's Responses to Findings

The County's responses to the findings identified in our audit are described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The County's responses were not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on them.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. The report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Allen, Gibbs & Houlik, L.C.
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

August 14, 2015
Wichita, Kansas

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR
EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE;
AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS REQUIRED BY
OMB CIRCULAR A-133

Board of County Commissioners
Douglas County, Kansas

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the compliance of Douglas County, Kansas, and the related municipal entities of the Douglas County Extension Council, the Lawrence-Douglas County Health Department, and the Douglas County Free Fair (collectively, "the County") with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the County's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014. The County's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the County's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Those standards and OMB Circular A-133 require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the County's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the County's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, Douglas County complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the County is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the County's internal control over compliance with types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the County's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. *A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of OMB Circular A-133. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by OMB Circular A-133

We have audited the fund summary statement of regulatory basis receipts, expenditures, and unencumbered cash balances of Douglas County, Kansas, and the related municipal entities of the Douglas County Extension Council, the Lawrence-Douglas County Health Department, and the Douglas County Free Fair (collectively "the County") as of and for the year ended December 31, 2014, and the related notes to the financial statement, which collectively comprise the County's regulatory basis financial statement. We have issued our report thereon dated August 14, 2015, which contained an unmodified opinion on the financial statement. The County prepared this regulatory basis financial statement to meet the requirements of the State of Kansas on the basis of the financial reporting provisions of the Kansas Municipal Audit and Accounting Guide, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the financial statement as a whole. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by OMB Circular A-133 and is not a required part of the financial statement. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the financial statement or to the financial statement itself, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the financial statement as a whole.

Allen, Gibbs & Houlik, L.L.C.
CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

August 14, 2015
Wichita, Kansas

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR'S RESULTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Type of auditor's report issued:

Unmodified –
Regulatory Basis

Internal control over financial reporting:

- Material weaknesses identified? Yes No
- Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? Yes None reported
- Noncompliance material to financial statements noted? Yes No

FEDERAL AWARDS

Internal control over major programs:

- Material weaknesses identified? Yes No
- Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be material weaknesses? Yes None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs:

See below

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with section 510(a) of OMB *Circular A-133*?

Yes No

Identification of major programs:

<u>CFDA NUMBER</u>	<u>NAME OF FEDERAL PROGRAM</u>	<u>MAJOR PROGRAM OPINION</u>
20.205	Highway Planning and Construction	Unmodified
97.039	Hazard Mitigation Grant	Unmodified

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION I – SUMMARY OF AUDITOR’S RESULTS (Continued)

Dollar threshold used to distinguish
between type A and type B programs: \$300,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee? X Yes No

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS

Finding 2014-001 Douglas County Segregation of Duties (Significant Deficiency):

Condition: There is a lack of adequate segregation of duties in the payroll function and in the posting of journal entries.

Cause: The payroll clerk has access to add employees, modify master file information, process payroll and post to the general ledger. The clerk also has access to blank checks and the signature stamp software. Additionally, there is no reconciliation currently performed between the data in the payroll system and what is posted to the general ledger. Journal entries can be created and posted to the general ledger by the same person. There is no review or approval process of journal entries made, prior to the time they are released.

Effect: A lack of controls and procedures could result in a misstatement to the financial statements.

Recommendation: We recommend that human resources set up and maintain employee master records and implement an authorization or approval process for changes made to the payroll system through review of the audit tables created when changes are made. We also recommend that there be a separation of the creating function and the posting function for journal entries within the system, or at a minimum, a procedure for conducting a secondary review of journal entries to ensure that undetected manipulation of data on the system does not occur.

Management’s Response (unaudited): Leadership in Accounts Payable is currently training staff to segregate duties more effectively. The limitations of the current financial system present obstacles to segregate duties, but staff is in the process of modifying current practices and procedures to address the issue.

Finding 2014-002 Lawrence-Douglas County Health Department Segregation of Duties (Significant Deficiency):

Condition: There is a lack of adequate segregation of duties and / or controls missing over the accounting processes.

Cause: The Director and Director of Administrative Services have access to all areas of CYMA and the Financial Specialist has access to most areas of CYMA. The Financial Specialist processes accounts payable and payroll, with complete access to master files, which would allow for changes in employee and vendor information. The Financial Specialist also has access to enter cash receipts and adjust accounts receivable balances. The Director of Administrative Services and the Financial Specialist can also post journal entries.

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS (Continued)

Effect: A lack of controls and procedures could result in a misstatement to the financial statements.

Recommendation: We recommend that policies and procedures be implemented for segregation of certain functions within the accounts payable and payroll processes to avoid conflicts between incompatible functions. We also recommend that there be a separation of the creating function and the posting function for journal entries within the system, or at a minimum, a procedure for conducting a secondary review of journal entries to ensure that undetected manipulation of data on the system does not occur.

Management's Response (unaudited): We acknowledge that the Director has access to run reports in CYMA, the Director of Administrative Services has access to all functions in CYMA and the Financial Specialist has access to most areas of CYMA, our fund accounting software. CYMA has an audit trail and can track changes and limit access to areas by user. In CYMA, the Financial Specialist does not have access to change funds or bank account information within the accounts payable or payroll modules. The Financial Specialist does not have access to the bank reconciliation module within CYMA and cannot create after the fact journal entries within the General Ledger module.

The Financial Specialist does create and post most of the journal entries and the Director of Administrative Services reviews those journal entries throughout the month and during the month end and bank reconciliation processes. We have asked the auditors to define this review process further (i.e. a sampling each month or so many each month) so that this would not be an issue for future audits. Without additional staffing, these functions are difficult to segregate further.

Finding 2014-003 Financial Statement Preparation (Significant Deficiency):

Condition: There is a lack of controls and/or policies and procedures related to encumbrances/commitments.

Cause: The County does not have a formal purchase order system to ensure that all purchase commitments are recorded at year end.

Effect: A lack of controls and procedures could result in a misstatement to the financial statements.

Recommendation: We recommend that management implement a systematic method of gathering purchase commitments.

Management's Response (unaudited): We concur with this finding. A new financial system, as recommended, will be implemented in 2015, and will allow staff to systematically gather purchase commitments and establish controls and mechanisms for monitoring accuracy and compliance. In 2011, Staff worked with the Auditor as a part of a separate consulting project to develop new year-end closing procedures and systems. While this improved practice doesn't completely address the concerns expressed in the Finding, it has accomplished what is possible until the new financial system is implemented in 2015.

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION II – FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS (Continued)

Finding 2014-004 Tax System (Significant Deficiency):

Condition: There is a lack of segregation of duties in the tax system.

Cause: During testing of access controls in Manatron, we noted that there is an “Administrator” function that allows users assigned to this role to have complete access to the system and there are multiple individuals who have been assigned this role.

Effect: A lack of controls and procedures could result in a misstatement to the financial statements.

Recommendation: We recommend that management limit the “administrator” role to only those individuals deemed necessary.

Management’s Response: Nine people have Administrator Status in GRM; four in IT, four in the Treasurer’s Office, and one in the Clerk’s Office. Each person has a unique username and GRM logs any changes to the database by user. Administrator access is required to adjust Homestead payments, Distributions, and Commission orders. If the adjustments are made to the tax roll at a lower level than Administrator, GRM doesn’t finalize them, leaving the tax roll out of balance and preventing it from moving forward. Security within GRM is extremely complex and on occasion, support issues have been resolved with changes to the security settings. The Turnaround time for resolving support issues through Thomson Reuters is improving, but still requires a substantial time delay.

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

Year Ended December 31, 2014

SECTION III – FEDERAL AWARD FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

No matters were reported.

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended December 31, 2014

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	CFDA Number		Pass-Through Grantor's Number	Grant Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture:				
USDA Rural Development - Rural Business Enterprise Grant	10.769		n/a	\$ 52,250
Passed through Kansas Department of Health and Environment:				
Special Supplemental Nutrition Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC)	10.557		264310G/264736F/26473 6G/264280G/264310H	322,500
<i>Total U.S. Department of Agriculture</i>				<u>374,750</u>
U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service:				
Fish and Wildlife Management Assistance	15.608		n/a	<u>75,000</u>
U.S. Department of Justice:				
Passed through Kansas Office of the Governor:				
Crime Victim Assistance	16.575		14-VOCA-10	32,410
Violence Against Women Formula Grants	16.588		14-VAWA-02	43,725
<i>Total U.S. Department of Justice</i>				<u>76,135</u>
U.S. Department of Transportation:				
Highway Planning and Construction	20.205		n/a	500,000
Passed through Kansas Department of Transportation:				
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	1	PT-1198-15	3,766
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	1	OP-1198-14	116
State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	1	OP-1198-13	1,409
<i>Total U.S. Department of Transportation</i>				<u>505,291</u>
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services:				
Passed through National Association of County and City Health Officials:				
Medical Reserve Corps Small Grant Program	93.008		not available	1,716
Passed through Kansas Department of Health and Environment:				
Public Health Emergency Preparedness - SFY15	93.069		264678R	11,844
Public Health Emergency Preparedness - SFY14	93.069		264678R	58,772
Project Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Tuberculosis Control Programs	93.116		264461G	45
Family Planning Services - 2015	93.217		264FP14/264FP15	187,322
Immunization Cooperative Agreements - 2015	93.268		264315G3OP	23,830
Center for Disease Control and Prevention_Investigations and Technical Assistance - 2015	93.283		264435F	4,741
Child Care and Development Block Grant - 2015	93.575		2643450D	70,714
Medical Assistance Program - 2015	93.778		2642043	39,609
HIV Prevention Activities_Health Department Based	93.940		264840E & F	18,656
Assistance Programs for Chronic Disease Prevention and Control	93.945		264441J/264472J	6,500
Preventive Health and Health Services Block Grant - 2015	93.991		264277G	25,000
Maternal & Child Health Services Block Grant - 2015	93.994		264329G & H	28,816
Passed through the Unified Government of Wyandotte County:				
Public Health Emergency Preparedness - 2014	93.069		not available	4,108
Public Health Emergency Preparedness - 2015	93.069		not available	4,832
<i>Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services</i>				<u>486,505</u>
U.S. Department of Homeland Security:				
Passed through Kansas Adjutant General:				
Emergency Management Performance Grants	97.042		2014 EMPG	100,212
Hazard Mitigation Grant	97.039		FEMA-DR-4010-KS	330,201
<i>Total U.S. Department of Homeland Security</i>				<u>430,413</u>
Total Federal Awards Expenditures				<u>\$ 1,948,094</u>

1 - Highway Safety Cluster = \$5,291

See accompanying note to Schedule of
Expenditures of Federal Awards

DOUGLAS COUNTY, KANSAS

NOTE TO SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS

Year Ended December 31, 2014

Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards includes the federal grant activity of Douglas County, Kansas and the Lawrence-Douglas County Health Department, and is presented on the regulatory basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB *Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the financial statements.